



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,571	07/13/2007	Glenn D. Prestwich	67934-8006.US00	6987
79975	7590	12/17/2010		
King & Spalding LLP P.O. Box 889 Belmont, CA 94002-0889			EXAMINER GOON, SCARLETT Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/581,571	Applicant(s) PRESTWICH ET AL.	
	Examiner SCARLETT GOON	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:

(1) SCARLETT GOON.

(2) Ms. Susan T. Evans.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2010

Time: 1:40 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

see below

Prior art documents discussed:

see below

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SCARLETT GOON/
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Evans was contacted to discuss allowable subject matter. The Examiner noted that the amendment filed 22 November 2010 cancelled all claims rejected over prior art and only maintained the claims that were indicated as containing allowable subject matter. However, the Examiner indicated to Ms. Evans that upon final review of the application for allowance, it was noted that the applied prior art was still applicable to the pending claims when the GAG was carboxymethyl chitosan. The Examiner proposed Applicants amend independent claim 224 to recite the specific GAGs as recited in claim 2. Ms. Evans noted that claim 2 did not recite hyaluronan as a GAG. The Examiner indicated it would be okay to also include hyaluronan into the independent claim, or a broader sub-genus that may be discussed in the instant Specification, so long as it did not encompass carboxymethyl chitosan. Ms. Evans indicated she would discuss the amendments with Applicants.